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## Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to advise Council of changes to the political proportionality of the Council and membership of committees.

## Recommendations and Reasons

That Council approves the changes to committee membership set out at Appendix A of this report following changes in the political proportionality of the Council.

Reason: To maintain clarity of the Council's committee structure and membership

## Alternative options considered and rejected

None. The Council is required to conduct proportionality reviews under Section I5 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989.

## Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan

Clarity on appointments to committees ensures that key projects and activities can be progressed in line with the Corporate and Plymouth Plans.

## Implications for the Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications:

None arising directly from this report.

## Financial Implications:

None arising directly from this report.

## Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications:

None arising directly from this report.

## Other Implications: e.g. Health and Safety, Risk Management, Child Poverty:

* When considering these proposals members have a responsibility to ensure they give due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not.
None arising directly from this report.
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*Add rows as required to box below
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# POLITICAL PROPORTIONALITY <br> January 2021 

## I. PURPOSE

I.I. This paper follows recent changes to the Conservative Group which have a bearing on the overall proportionality of the council.

## 2. LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS OF PROPORTIONALITY

2.I. Section I5 (5) of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 imposes a duty on Councils to review the representation of different political groups on bodies to which the Council makes appointments. The Act requires that the review should be held at the Annual General Meeting or as soon as practicable after that meeting or when the membership of the Council changes.
2.2. The Act provides four principles concerning political representation that must be observed when conducting a review. These are:
a) that not all the seats on committees are allocated to the same political group;
b) that the majority of the seats on each committee are allocated to a particular political group if the number of persons belonging to that group are a majority of the authority's membership;
c) subject to (a) and (b), that the number of seats on committees which are allocated to each group bears the same proportion to the total of all the seats on committees of the council as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the authority, and
d) Subject to (a) to (c) above, that the number of seats on each committee which are allocated to each political group bears the same proportion to the number of all the seats on that committee as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the authority.
2.3. The legislation provides that the Council may make alternative arrangements to the 'proportionality' principles.
2.4. The Committees and Panels to which the provisions of Section I5 of the Act apply, and the recommended allocation of seats on each, applying the principles of the Act as closely as is reasonably practicable, are shown in Section 2 below. The recommended allocation of seats reflects the four proportionality principles so far as reasonably practicable.
3. OVERALL PROPORTIONALITY 202I/2022-NUMBER OF SEATS ON COUNCIL

|  | June 202 I | January 2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Conservative | $26(45.6 \mathrm{I} \%)$ | $24(42.1 \mathrm{I} \%)$ |
| Labour | $23(40.35 \%)$ | $23(40.35 \%)$ |
| Independent \#I | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#2 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#3 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#4 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |


| Independent \#5 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Independent \#6 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#7 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#8 | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#9 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |
| Independent \#I0 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} .75 \%)$ |

* There are 57 members on Plymouth City Council. The Local Government and Housing Act 1989, which sets out how seats should be allocated to members on a Council, describes the distribution of seats between the political Groups into which the Council has divided itself. There is no seat entitlement to members who are not in a Group. The definition of what constitutes a group on a Council is covered in The Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990 and can be found in the following link https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/I 990/I 553/part/III/made
A single representative does not constitute a Group which is defined as a number of persons bound together by common interests. As such, a single Member is not entitled to any Committee seats. This is consistent with practice previously employed by the Council in similar circumstances.
3.I. The application of the legislative principles is set out below:
a) The seats were allocated amongst the Labour and Conservative groups'.
b) As the majority group, the Conservative Group were allocated the majority of seats so far as reasonably practicable.
c) Subject to (a) and (b), the allocation of seats on all committees was based on the group's percentage of total Council membership so far as reasonably practicable.
d) Subject to (a) to (c), each group has the same proportion of seats on each committee as it holds on the Council as a whole so far as reasonably practicable.


## 4. $202 \mathrm{I} / 22$ ALLOCATION ACROSS COMMITTEES

|  | June 2021 |  |  |  |  | December 2021 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Con | Prop | Lab | Prop | Total | Con | Prop | Lab | Prop | Total |
| Councillors | 26 | 45.61\% | 23 | 40.35\% | 57 | 24 | 42.11\% | 23 | 40.35\% | 57 |
| Seats <br> Allocation as \% membership | 52 (51.995) |  | 46 (45.99) |  | $\begin{gathered} 98 \text { of } \\ 114 \\ \text { total } \\ \text { seat } \end{gathered}$ | 48 (47.99) |  | 46 (45.99) |  | 94 of <br> 114 <br> total <br> seat |
| Planning | 7 | 53.8\% | 6 | 46.2\% | 13 | 7 | 53.8\% | 6 | 46.2\% | 13 |
| Taxi Licensing | 4 | 50.0\% | 4 | 50.0\% | 8 | 4 | 50.0\% | 4 | 50.0\% | 8 |
| Licensing | 8 | 53.3\% | 7 | 46.7\% | 15 | 8 | 53.3\% | 7 | 46.7\% | 15 |
| Chief Officer Appointments | 4 | 57.1\% | 3 | 42.9\% | 7 | 4 | 57.1\% | 3 | 42.9\% | 7 |
| Chief Officer Appeals | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 |
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| Chief Officer Disciplinary | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audit and Governance | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 |
| Tamar Bridge | 3 | 60.0\% | 2 | 40.0\% | 5 | 3 | 60.0\% | 2 | 40.0\% | 5 |
| Police and Crime Panel | 2 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 |
| Brexit, Infrastructure and Legislative Change Scrutiny | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 | 4 | 40.0\% | 6 | 60.0\% | 10 |
| Performance, <br> Finance and Customer Focus Scrutiny | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 | 4 | 40.0\% | 6 | 60.0\% | 10 |
| Education and Children's Social Care Scrutiny | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 |
|  <br> Social Care <br> Scrutiny | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 |
| Scrutiny <br> Management <br> Board | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 |
| HoSW Scrutiny | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 |


| Total seats <br> allocated | 60 | $52.6 \%$ | 54 | $47.4 \%$ | 114 | 58 | $50.9 \%$ | 56 | $49.1 \%$ | 114 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seats over <br> allocation | $\mathbf{8}$ |  | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |

## Overview and Scrutiny

# Performance, Finance and Customer Focus Overview and Scrutiny Committee 

## Ten councillors (Proportional)

## Four Conservative and six Labour councillors

Councillor Chris Penberthy<br>Chair<br>Councillor Glenn Jordan<br>Vice Chair<br>Councillor Sue Dann<br>Councillor Gareth Derrick<br>Councillor Mark Lowry<br>Councillor Bill Stevens<br>Councillor David James<br>Councillor Dan Collins<br>Councillor James Stoneman<br>Councillor LABOUR VACANCY

With the exception of Cabinet Members, any councillor may act as a substitute member

## Overview and Scrutiny

## Brexit, Infrastructure and Legislative Change <br> Ten councillors (proportional)

Four Conservative and six Labour councillors

| Councillor Richard Bingley | Chair |
| :--- | :--- |
| Councillor David Salmon |  |
| Councillor Pat Patel |  |
| Councillor Glenn Jordan | Vice Chair |
| Councillor LABOUR VACANCY |  |
| Councillor Pam Buchan |  |
| Councillor Mark Coker |  |
| Councillor Dr Charlotte Cree |  |
| Councillor Jonny Morris |  |
| Councillor Neil Hendy |  |

With the exception of Cabinet Members, any councillor may act as a substitute member

